



My Favorites

Pages

Modules

Live Edit

0



Anne

Help



City Council

Community

Services

Departments

Business & Development

Home > Community Voice



Community Voice

Feature Overview

Search

At the May 29, 2014 Municipal Planning Commission Special Meeting, the planning team presented [draft language for the UMCH focus area of the Comprehensive Plan](#). Please review the draft language and comment. Do you agree with the language? Would you change it? If so, how?

Idea, Initiative, Tag...

All Initiatives

[View all initiatives](#)

[View all ideas in Visioning UMCH 5](#)

Draft Language for the Comprehensive Plan UMCH Focus Area

Worthington Ohio
7 points

May 30, 2014

At the May 29, 2014 Municipal Planning Commission Special Meeting, the planning team presented draft language for the UMCH focus area of the Comprehensive Plan. Please review the draft language and comment. Do you agree with the language? Would you change it? If so, how?

Visioning UMCH | 1 | umch | 1

Actions

Mark as Duplicate

Feature

Mark as In Process

Mark as Implemented

Vote

0



1



31 Views

[Follow via Email](#)

[Subscribe via RSS](#)

[Flag as Inappropriate](#)

Discussion



[gwt@wowway.com](#) 2 points

I have been to many of the meetings that have been about the UMCH property, at all of them the presenter has said that there are a thousand different scenarios, all though I have really only seen one that he is pushing. Every plan calls for an entry to the property across from my back yard. Evening street is a very busy cut thru allready. There has been no mention of the homes in Medic Estates, one of the most beautiful neighborhoods in Worthington. I should think that this would at least be a consideration that the city should look at. How will this effect the property values of this neighborhood. All of the city council should come sit in my front yard on any given morning when the kids are on there way to school.

The truth is I think there should be no change to the zoning. If I have a vote then it will not!!!!!!!!!!!!

Jun 1, 2014 1:35 PM [Flag as Inappropriate](#)

Reply



Resident 5 points

There seems to be a belated attempt to move the setbacks on High Street. This was never mentioned at prior meetings. High Street is a beautiful avenue into Worthington, and it is not appropriate to diminish its appearance by moving buildings close to the street. This should be amended (p 98) so that this property does not receive special treatment that adjacent properties do not have.

Jun 1, 2014 6:04 PM [Flag as Inappropriate](#)

Reply



SJ 2 points

I feel the setbacks should match the existing set backs of the municipal building across the street. Moving the buildings closer to the street might make the planners feel like they are in the "big city" or "on trend", but it changes the feel of our neighborhood. Visit Upper Arlington to see this destruction. I do not feel any buildings in this area of town should exceed two stories. We have many regional options if one would like to live in a high rise, high end apartment. I also am very concerned about the traffic increase on Evening Street. This is already a very "walkable" neighborhood. Many children walk to school, the library, the pool, etc. And many adults walk to uptown Worthington and use the sidewalks and streets for exercise and dog walking. Additional cut through traffic would be very disconcerting. We have already added the art center to this neighborhood which increases traffic at times.

Jun 3, 2014 11:43 AM [Flag as Inappropriate](#)

Reply



Long Time Resident 2 points

Reply



My Favorites

Pages

Modules

Live Edit

0



Anne

Help

jump on the windfall opportunity to create public parkland on the site. We need more open space of residences in the area, but we do need public open space and outdoor recreation opportunities -- especially in that particular part of Worthington. All of the scenarios presented so far show way too much development.

Jun 12, 2014 11:59 AM | [Flag as Inappropriate](#)



LJaggers 4 points

Density is too high. The plan is jamming too many types of development on such a small space. The light and noise pollution, non point source pollution and increased traffic will ruin existing residents' experience. If housing is desired, homes of various sizes but kept to a reasonable size (2000 sq.ft. or smaller) on larger lots would be more appealing. Worthington is adding other housing options up on Wilson Bridge Road so this part of town would make for a nice neighborhood that is walkable to Old Worthington if developed tastefully and with more green space compared with less. Why do so many developers jump on the latest trend bandwagon instead of assessing each site's merits and then thinking outside the box? Grandview and Upper Arlington have both had their unique charm developed right out from under them. Is the tax revenue really with it?

Jun 16, 2014 5:20 PM | [Flag as Inappropriate](#)



LJaggers 4 points

I wish parkland was the direction they were going with this but they ...the City...needs the tax revenue

Jun 16, 2014 5:22 PM | [Flag as Inappropriate](#)



ann 2 points

The exit from Crandall into Worthington Galena will be a complete disaster. Evening street is a narrow road from Highgate to 161 and does not have sidewalks the entire way to 161 on both sides. This improvement should be included.

There is no mention of what type of improvements will be needed to keep this a safe area for kids and adults to ride bikes, walk etc. It's hazardous now to ride a bike on it.... hate to see what happens with more traffic of every kind.

Jun 17, 2014 12:40 AM | [Flag as Inappropriate](#)

[Reply](#)



Anne Brown 5 points

You have 1000 characters left.

[Add Comment](#) [Spell Check](#)

[Return to feedback page](#)

[▲ Back to top](#)